## SINGLE GROWER ORGANISATION







#### GOAL –

- A single grower organisation to represent the growers initially of KCGO, PCGO, ICGO and other growers in the Burdekin District
- Opportunity for future expansion into other districts.
- Achieve the maximum tonnes and membership under the one organisation.
- Pooling of resources, achieving scales of economy and expanding services to growers.
- Financial sustainability.
- Continue the benefits achieved by BDCG for example: collectively negotiating with Wilmar Sugar; lobbying at Local, State and Federal Government levels.
- Asset protection.

#### **VISION STATEMENT**

**Recognising a unique opportunity to** establish a single grower organisation that will: bring together the skills, expertise and resources of existing grower collectives and individual growers to form an industry body which is free of government funding; that fiercely advocates externally for the best interests of its members; and internally, coordinates the provision of internal and external services that seek to optimise the productivity, sustainability and profitability of its members.

#### **MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (MOU)**

- **Executed on 7 August 2019.**
- Objective investigate merger of KCGO, PCGO and ICGO to be a single grower organisation and enhancing BDCG role.
- MOU included a commitment to
  - Identifying issues which would inhibit and/or prevent the objective.
  - > Investigating solutions to issues identified.
  - Negotiating a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with timeframes for unification.
  - > Delivering principles to underpin the investigation process.
  - Joint Grower Meeting by 30 September 2019.
- MOU no legally binding obligations
- MOA would have legally binding obligations.

#### TIMETABLE

| Joint Grower Meeting #1        | 27 September 2019      |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Joint Grower Meeting #2        | <b>31 October 2019</b> |
| Joint Grower Meeting #3        | 29 November 2019       |
| MOA Signed                     | 6 December 2019        |
| Nominee Directors appointed    | 1 March 2020           |
| Independent Director appointed | 20 March 2020          |
| <b>Constitution prepared</b>   | 31 March 2020          |
| New Entity formed              | 1 April 2020           |
| Full implementation            | 31 December 2020       |

### COMPANY

Proposed -

- Name: Burdekin District Combined Growers Limited (name change?).
- **ABN:** same ABN as BDCG.
- **Company:** Not for Profit, Limited by Guarantee and Non-Government funded.
- Grower Organisation and Bargaining Representative.

#### **COMPANY STRUCTURE**

#### **BOARD – TRANSITIONAL**

| Number of    | Minimum – 6                                 |
|--------------|---|
| Directors    | Maximum – 10 (including 1 independent)      |
| Appointment  | KCGO – nominates 2                          |
| of Directors | PCGO – nominates 2                          |
|              | ICGO – nominates 2                          |
|              | Board – may appoint Independent Director    |
| Term of      | 2-year term - 1 April 2020 to 30 April 2022 |
| Directors    |   |
| Independent  | Term determined by the Board – up to 1      |
| Director     | year with option of re-appointment          |
| Chair        | Elected by Board                            |

#### **BOARD - POST 2 YEARS**

| Number of<br>Directors | Minimum – 5<br>Maximum – 10 (including 1 independent) |
|------------------------|---|
| • •                    | Elected by all of the members                         |
| Directors              | Board – may appoint Independent Director              |
| Term of                | 3-year term - 1 May 2022 - 30 April 2025              |
| Directors              | Maximum of 3 consecutive terms                        |
| Independent            | Term determined by the Board – up to 1                |
| Director               | year with the option of re-appointment                |
| Chair                  | Elected by Board                                      |

#### **MEMBERS**

| Class A | <b>Existing 3 collectives</b> |
|---------|-------------------------------|
|         | KCGO, PCGO, ICGO              |

**Class B** Sugar cane growers

Class C Growers of other crops (voluntary)

#### **MEMBERSHIP FEES / LEVIES**

**Classes of Membership** 

- Class A by unanimous support of the three collectives (should BDCG require capital).
- Class B will be determined by the members a poll of the members at General Meeting.
- Class C to be determined by the board (dependent upon the number of members, the fees/levies available and the services that will be offered to other crop growers.

MEMBERSHIP FEES / LEVIES Class B - membership fees / levies - Options

Members will be polled – to nominate from a number of options which have not been fully considered yet but which may include:

- Levies on capped tonnes of cane
- > Levies on all tonnes of cane
- Levies on a sliding scale of tonnes of cane For example
  - up to 50,000;
  - between 50,001 and 100,000 tonnes;
  - over 100,000 tonnes.

#### **ASSET PROTECTION**

- The three organisations to retain ownership of their respective assets (buildings, seed plot farms, shares and cash).
- Objective the assets to be protected and not owned by the bargaining representative entity.
- Issues with stamp duty and capital gains tax on the transfer of assets (real property – farms and buildings - and STL shares).

# QUESTIONS ?<sup>?</sup>???

#### NEXT MEETING - scheduled to be held 31 October 2019







#### 27 SEPTEMBER JOINT FORUM GROWER FEEDBACK

- Post Transitional Boards Directors should be elected on a zonal basis.
- Directors and Managers should obtain a broad understanding of issues in all areas
- Growers should pay a separate compulsory levy on all land used for alternate income cropping
- Could be a challenge to get Wilmar to collect on a Land Area (Hectare) basis
- Could new Board appoint more than 1 independent Director? [No - 1 only proposed at this stage but could be increased at a cost]
- Support for uncapped fees otherwise small grower, with lesser capacity to pay, subsidising big grower
- Proposed changes supported so as to attract new members
- Will each Collective's Members be consulted for approval of MOA? [Yes – each Collective's Boards and Members required to approve]